

### Bronchodilator effect of oral doxofylline and procaterol in asthma: A randomized crossover study

### Natthapon Noomon M.D.,

Narongkorn Saiphoklang M.D., Pimchanok Patanayindee M.D., Pitchayapa Ruchiwit M.D., Orapan Poachanukoon M.D., Ph.D.

Pulmonary and Critical Care medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Thammasat University Hospital, Pathumthani, Thailand





> Inhaled corticosteroids (ICS) is the main treatment for asthma<sup>1</sup>

Oral bronchodilators might be used useful as adjunctive treatment especially in patients, who not achieved controlled asthma or poor inhalation technique<sup>1</sup>



## Objective



### To compare the bronchodilator effect and asthma symptoms between doxofylline and procaterol in adults with asthma







A crossover randomized controlled trial at Thammasat University Hospital, Thailand

From June 2022 to December 2022

EC approval at Thammasat University

## Methods



### **Inclusion criteria**

- Asthma diagnosed according to GINA 2022
- > Age ≥ 18 years
- On ICS/LABA treatment

### **Exclusion criteria**

- Asthma exacerbation within 3 months
- On systemic steroid treatment 3 months
- On biologic treatment
- 10-pack-year smoking history
- Comorbidity eg, AF, chronic heart diseases, chronic cerebrovascular diseases, chronic liver diseases, chronic renal diseases, hyperthyroidism, COPD and other chronic lung diseases
- Pregnancy or lactation
- Inability to perform spirometry
- ➢ FEV₁< 50% predicted</p>
- Allergic to doxofylline or procaterol



### Outcomes



- Primary outcome: The difference in spirometry parameters and asthma symptoms between doxofylline and procaterol
- Secondary outcomes: The changing before and after treatment in spirometry parameters, ACQ-5 scores, asthma exacerbation and adverse event in each medication

### **Statistical Analysis**



Calculated sample size = 18 (90%power, type 1 error of 0.05)

### Randomization with the block of four

- Descriptive statistics
  - Categorical data: number (%)
  - Continuous data: mean  $\pm$  standard deviations

#### Comparative statistics

- Categorical data: Pearson's Chi-square test or Fischer's exact test
- Continuous data: Student T-test (independent & paired)
- > A two-sided p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant





# 21 asthmatic patients were included from June 2022 to December 2022

| Characteristic   | Total (n = 21)                |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Age, years   | <b>53.0</b> ±14.80            |
| Male / female  | 5 (23.8) / 16 ( <b>76.2</b> ) |
| Body mass index, kg/m <sup>2</sup>                     | <b>25.4</b> ±3.60             |
| Formerly smoking                                       | <b>1</b> (4.8)                |
| Smoking, pack-years                                    | 0.36±1.64                     |
| Comorbidity  |                               |
| Allergic rhinitis                                      | 21 ( <b>100</b> )             |
| Hypertension   | 9 (42.9)                      |
| Hyperlipidemia   | 9 (42.9)                      |
| Obstructive sleep apnea                                | 2 (9.5)                       |
| Medication   |                               |
| ICS + LABA   | 20 <b>(95.2)</b>              |
| ICS + LABA + LAMA                                      | 1 <b>(4.8)</b>                |
| Daily dose of ICS as<br>budesonide equivalent, mcg/day | <b>560</b> ±394.36            |
| INS  | 21 <b>(100)</b>               |
| LRTA   | 9 (42.9)                      |
| Anti-Histamine   | 14 (66.7)                     |

Data presented as n (%) or mean±SD

| Characteristic                                  | Total (n = 21)       |  |  |  |
|---|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Symptom control questionnaire                   |                      |  |  |  |
| ACQ-5, scores                                   | <b>1.38</b> ±1.10    |  |  |  |
| ACT, scores                                     | <b>23</b> .1±0.70    |  |  |  |
| Laboratory data                                 |                      |  |  |  |
| Blood eosinophils, %                            | <b>3.97</b> ±2.50    |  |  |  |
| Blood eosinophils counts, cells/mm <sup>3</sup> | <b>293.5</b> ±186.10 |  |  |  |
| Spirometry data                                 |                      |  |  |  |
| FVC, L  | 2.81±0.73            |  |  |  |
| FVC, %predicted                                 | 102.3±15.60          |  |  |  |
| FEV <sub>1</sub> , L                            | 2.15±0.62            |  |  |  |
| FEV <sub>1</sub> , %predicted                   | <b>93.8</b> ±12.00   |  |  |  |
| FEV <sub>1</sub> improvement after BD test, %   | 4.3±7.50             |  |  |  |
| FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC, %                        | 76.9±8.70            |  |  |  |
| PEF, L/s  | 6.32±1.65            |  |  |  |
| PEF, %predicted                                 | <b>97.3</b> ±14.00   |  |  |  |
| FEF <sub>25-75,</sub> L/s                       | 1.82±0.97            |  |  |  |
| FEF <sub>25-75,</sub> %predicted                | 62.7±21.70           |  |  |  |

|  | Doxofylline<br>(n=21) | Procaterol<br>(n=21) | P-value |  |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|---------|--|
| Spirometry data change from baseline                 |                       |                      |         |  |
| FVC, L   | -0.190±0.157          | 0.002±0.155          | 0.659   |  |
| FVC, %predicted                                      | -0.923±6.481          | -0.061±6.134         | 0.660   |  |
| FVC improvement after<br>BD test, %                  | 0.257±5.294           | 0.310±7.060          | 0.978   |  |
| FEV <sub>1</sub> , L                                 | 0.004±0.177           | 0.006±0.109          | 0.967   |  |
| FEV <sub>1</sub> , %predicted                        | 0.330±8.449           | -0.129±5.093         | 0.832   |  |
| FEV <sub>1</sub> improvement<br>after BD test, %     | 0.152±6.748           | 1.152±6.953          | 0.639   |  |
| FEV₁/FVC, %  | 0.981±4.336           | 0.038±3.197          | 0.427   |  |
| PEF, L/s   | -0.653±11.447         | -0.002±0.697         | 0.781   |  |
| PEF, %predicted                                      | -0.653±11.447         | -0.479±11.246        | 0.961   |  |
| FEF <sub>25-75,</sub> L/s                            | 0.681±12.24           | -1.160±12.891        | 1.000   |  |
| FEF <sub>25-75,</sub> %predicted                     | 2.624±26.009          | 4.143±23.265         | 0.638   |  |
| FEF <sub>25-75</sub> improvement<br>after BD test, % | 2.624±26.009          | 4.143±23.265         | 0.843   |  |
| Symptom control score                                |                       |                      |         |  |
| ACQ-5, scores  | -0.381±0.740          | -0.476±0.873         | 0.705   |  |

# No exacerbation in both groups

| Dete   | Doxofylline    |                |                                  | Procaterol |                |                |                                  |         |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------------|---------|
| Data   | Before         | After          | Mean change<br>(95% Cl)          | P-value    | Before         | After          | Mean change<br>(95% Cl)          | P-value |
| FVC, L   | 2.775±0.735    | 2.756±0.784    | -0.190±0.157<br>(-0.090, 0.052)  | 0.584      | 2.768±0.733    | 2.771±0.762    | 0.002±0.155<br>(-0.068, 0.073)   | 0.945   |
| FVC, %predicted                                      | 100.977±16.333 | 100.054±18.797 | -0.923±6.481<br>(-3.873, 2.027)  | 0.521      | 100.485±14.883 | 100.425±16.576 | -0.061±6.134<br>(-2.853, 2.731)  | 0.964   |
| FVC improvement<br>after BD test, %                  | 0.295±3.262    | 0.552±3.337    | 0.257±5.294<br>(-2.153, 2.667)   | 0.826      | 0.838±4.357    | 1.148±7.254    | 0.310±7.060<br>(-2.904, 3.523)   | 0.843   |
| FEV <sub>1</sub> , L                                 | 2.131±0.617    | 2.135±0.619    | 0.004±0.177<br>(0.076, 0.085)    | 0.913      | 2.158±0.613    | 2.164±0.662    | 0.006±0.109<br>(-0.436, 0.056)   | 0.798   |
| FEV <sub>1</sub> , %predicted                        | 92.819±12.331  | 93.149±13.542  | 0.330±8.449<br>(-3.515, 4.16)    | 0.860      | 93.983±11.860  | 93.854±13.782  | -0.129±5.093<br>(-2.448, 2.189)  | 0.909   |
| FEV <sub>1</sub> improvement<br>after BD test, %     | 4.291±7.514    | 4.443±3.531    | 0.152±6.748<br>(-2.919, 3.223)   | 0.919      | 3.501±4.971    | 4.662±9.869    | 1.152±6.953<br>(-2.012, 4.317)   | 0.456   |
| FEV <sub>1</sub> /FVC, %                             | 77.009±9.356   | 77.990±8.716   | 0.981±4.336<br>(-0.992, 2.955)   | 0.312      | 78.148±8.095   | 78.186±9.078   | 0.038±3.197<br>(-1.417, 1.493)   | 0.957   |
| PEF, L/s   | 98.196±15.515  | 97.542±15.839  | -0.653±11.447<br>(-5.864, 4.557) | 0.796      | 96.608±13.330  | 96.129±16.930  | -0.028±0.697<br>(-0.320, 0.315)  | 0.988   |
| FEF <sub>25-75,</sub> L/s                            | 1.870±1.048    | 1.879±1.007    | 0.009±0.339<br>(-0.146, 0.163)   | 0.909      | 1.887±0.944    | 1.895±1.051    | 0.009±0.320<br>(-0.137, 0.154)   | 0.903   |
| FEF <sub>25-75,</sub> %predicted                     | 64.328±24.286  | 65.009±22.630  | 0.681±12.24<br>(-4.893, 6.253)   | 0.802      | 64.765±20.680  | 63.605±25.261  | -1.160±12.891<br>(-7.028, 4.708) | 0.684   |
| FEF <sub>25-75</sub> improvement<br>after BD test, % | 17.471±22.589  | 20.095±16.685  | 2.624±26.009<br>(-9.216, 14.463) | 0.649      | 14.510±16.480  | 18.65±29.678   | 4.143±23.265<br>(-6.447, 14.733) | 0.424   |

# **Results: Asthma symptoms by ACQ-5**





# **Results: Adverse events**



| Adverse event (n=21) (n=21)    |  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| <b>Dizziness</b> 1 (4.8) 0     |  |
| <b>Headache</b> 1 (4.8) 0      |  |
| <b>Insomnia</b> 1 (4.8) 0      |  |
| Palpitation     0     8 (38.1) |  |

Data presented as n (%)

# **Discussion (1)**



This study is the <u>first</u> crossover RCT of comparison between two oral bronchodilators in asthma

No differences in pulmonary functions, asthma symptom and exacerbation between doxofylline and procaterol for asthma treatment

Both doxofylline and procaterol can improve asthma symptoms although pulmonary functions are previously normal

# **Discussion (2)**



- A RCT study of Goldstein MF et al. showed that doxofylline was an effective treatment for relieving airway obstruction with better safety than theophylline<sup>1</sup>
- A meta-analysis of Calzetta L et al. showed that both doxofylline and theophylline significantly increased FEV<sub>1</sub>, reduced the rate of asthma events and use of salbutamol to relieve asthma symptoms compared to placebo. However, theophylline 250 mg had significantly higher risk of AEs than placebo<sup>2</sup>

Goldstein MF, et al. Med Sci Monit 2002;8:CR297-304.
Calzetta L, et al. Pulm Pharmacol Ther 2018;53:20-26.

# **Discussion (3)**



A study of Crowe MJ et al. reported that procaterol and salbutamol were clinically similar in increase in FEV<sub>1</sub> in 24 asthmatic patients<sup>1</sup>

A study in 20 asthmatic patients by Tukiainen H et al. showed that procaterol was more potent bronchodilator effect of increasing PEF than salbutamol but there was more palpitation than placebo<sup>2</sup>

Our study showed that both oral doxofylline and procaterol reduced asthma symptoms without serious adverse side effect during treatment

Crowe MJ, Br J Clin Pharmacol 1985;19:787-91.
Tukiainen H, et al. Curr Med Res Opin 1988;11:236-41.

### **Discussion (5)**



- All step of treatment asthma in GINA 2022 guideline had no oral bronchodilator in recommendation regimens but low dose sustainedrelease theophylline can use add on therapy (Evidence B)<sup>1</sup>
- And guideline for adult asthma management in Thailand 2022 by Thai Asthma Council (TAC)<sup>2</sup> recommended oral xanthine as add-on therapy in last step of treatment

The Guideline for adult asthma management in Thailand by thoracic society of Thailand under royal patronage (TST) 2023<sup>3</sup> additional oral sustained-release theophylline in 4<sup>th</sup> step of all 5 steps of treatment after received moderate to high dose of ICS

- 1. GINA 2022
- 2. Guideline for adult asthma management in Thailand by TAC 2022
- 3. Guideline for adult asthma management in Thailand 2023 by TST

## **Strength & Limitation**



This study is the **first** crossover RCT of comparison between two oral bronchodilators for asthma treatment

Study patients had previously well controlled symptoms and normal lung functions, so no differences were shown between both oral bronchodilators





Oral doxofylline and procaterol can significantly improve asthma symptoms, though they are not able to enhance lung functions

## **Clinical application**



Either doxofylline or procaterol may be used as add-on treatment for asthma with uncontrolled symptoms, although there is normal lung functions







**Contract E-mail :** <u>nartheas@gmail.com</u>

### Acknowledgement



- > All participants
- All chest staffs of Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Thammasat University
- Medical Diagnostics Unit, Thammasat University Hospital
- Center of Excellence for Allergy, Asthma and Pulmonary Diseases (TU-CAAP), Thammasat University Hospital
- Funding of Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University

# **Conflict of Interest**



> The authors declare that no conflict of interest

> No funding from pharmaceutical companies